

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/062,651	01/31/2002	Naoaki Komiya	YKI-0082 2162		
23413	7590 12/31/2003		EXAMINER		
	OLBURN, LLP ROAD SOUTH	NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, KEVIN M		
BLOOMFIEL		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•		2674		
			DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003	DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003 5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/062,651		KOMIYA, NAOAKI				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Kevin M. No	guyen	2674				
	The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication app	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 January 2002</u> .								
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.								
_	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restr	riction and/or	election re	nuirement					
	on Papers		0.00	14					
	The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner	r						
·	The drawing(s) filed on 31 January			oted or b) abjected	to by the Examiner.				
,—	Applicant may not request that any obj		•	•	·				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	ng the correction	on is require	d if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 									
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific								
reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)				(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/062,651

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claim 1-7 are objected to because of the following informalities: EL should be read –electroluminescent--. Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

2. Figure 6 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Friend et al (US 6,429,601).

As to claim 1, Friend et al teach an organic electroluminescent EL display comprising a plurality of pixels/organic EL elements (19a, 19b, 19c, 19d), a plurality of driving transistors (15a, 15b, 15c, 15d), a plurality of data lines (11a, 11b, 11c, 11d) (figure 5, column 5, lines 34-48), the transistors size of each of driving transistors correspond to the light-emitting areas differs from that of the other driving transistors

Application/Control Number: 10/062,651

Art Unit: 2674

correspond to the other light-emitting areas (figure 3, column 7, lines 58-63), gray scale display is effected by controlling the number of transistors to be switched on in order to vary the number of EL elements which are switched on in each pixel (19a) (figure 7, column 8, lines 8-17).

As to claim 2, Friend et al teach the transistors size of each of driving transistors correspond to the light-emitting areas are set so that the sizes are sequentially doubled (figure 3, column 7, lines 65-67).

As to claim 3, Friend et al teach the size of the transistor is determined by the gate length and/or gate witch of the transistor (15a), associated with the light-emitting area (19a) (figure 3, column 7, lines 58-67).

As to claim 4, Friend et al teach the light emission areas of a plurality of EL elements within one pixel are varied (column 7, lines 50-57).

As to claim 5, Friend et al teach the light emission area of the EL elements connected to the larger driving transistor is increased (figure 3, column 7, lines 58-67).

As to claim 6. Friend et al teach the driving period of the driving transistor of each pixel is divided into a plurality of sub-fields; and the duration of ON condition of each EL element is controlled by controlling the on/off condition in each sub-field (figures 7 and 8, column 8, lines 3-20).

As to claim 7, Friend et al teach the lengths of a plurality of sub-fields are set so that they are sequentially doubled (figure 8, column 8,lines 3-20).

Application/Control Number: 10/062,651

Art Unit: 2674

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Kevin M. Nguyen** whose telephone number is **703-305-6209**. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-THU from 9:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Richard A Hjerpe** can be reached on **703-305-4709**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to: (703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered response should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Kevin M. Nguyen Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2674

KN

December 15, 2003